



## Arts and Health Grant Evaluation Rubric

Total Score = 20. Reviewers will assign a total score for each section: Health (1-10), Artistic (1-5), Feasibility (1-5)

Health Impact, Access, and Community Engagement (50%) <i>Is there evidence of a positive health impact on the identified community?</i>		SCORE 1 - 10
<b>PROJECT CONCEPT</b>	Thoughtfully conceived project concept. Capacity to deliver appreciable health and/or public health benefit.	<b>10</b> - Robust evidence of a positive health impact on the community across all criteria. <b>5</b> - Evidence of positive health impact across some criteria. <b>1</b> - Attempted but did not meet the criteria.
<b>TARGET AUDIENCE</b>	Clearly describes target audience/population to be served and intended health benefit.	
<b>PROGRAMMATIC ACCESS</b>	Project is appropriate and aligns with participants' needs/abilities. Project plan anticipates and removes barriers to provide physical & programmatic access to individuals of all abilities and underserved populations.	
<b>HEALTH ADVISOR</b>	Shows evidence of engagement with health professionals and/or subject matter experts and/or community partners as defined by the project.	
<b>HEALTH BENEFIT</b>	Shows evidence of impact as defined by the scope of the project: Health or Public Health. <u><b>Health:</b></u> Evidence of integrating the arts to promote wellness and healing—facilitating the physical, cognitive, and social emotional aspects of individual and collective well-being. <u><b>Public Health:</b></u> Utilizing the arts to generate awareness and create health-related strategies to address the social determinants of health, building healthy communities for all Rhode Islanders.	
Artistic Integrity and Relevancy (25%) <i>Is there evidence that the arts are relevant and integral to this project and centered in achieving health goals?</i>		SCORE 1 - 5
<b>ARTIST ENGAGEMENT</b>	Non-clinical artist engagement is central to the project.	<b>5</b> - Robust evidence that artist experiences and engagement are relevant to the project. <b>3</b> - Adequate evidence that artist experiences and engagement are relevant to the project. <b>1</b> - Minimal evidence that artist experiences and engagement are relevant to the project.
<b>ARTIST RELEVANCY</b>	Evidence that practicing artist/s can provide relevant and respectful engagement with the population. <u><b>Health:</b></u> Artist experiences are centered in achieving health goals. <u><b>Public Health:</b></u> Artist experiences are centered in achieving public health goals.	
Feasibility (25%) <i>Is there evidence that the project will be carried out successfully as defined?</i>		SCORE 1 - 5
<b>ACHIEVABILITY</b>	Application clearly defines project. This includes what will be done, by whom, when and where activities will take place and the population that will benefit.	<b>5</b> - Robust evidence across all criteria that project will be carried out successfully as defined. <b>3</b> - Adequate evidence across all the criteria that the project will be carried out successfully. <b>1</b> - Minimal evidence that the project will be successful.
<b>SUCCESS</b>	Applicant has clear definition of success and specific and relevant metrics to measure success.	
<b>PARTNERSHIP(S)</b>	Project collaborators present appropriate qualifications and experience with defined project.	
<b>BUDGET CLARITY</b>	Budgets are clear, detailed, accurate. Planned allocation of funds supports project goals.	